GridPenguin: A District Heating Network Simulator

Jichen Wu, Rob Everhardt, Ksenija Stepanovic, Mathijs de Weerdt

Presented by: Jichen Wu

Date: 13/10/2022



Diagram of district heating

Literature of DHS optimization



Research Open Access Published: 24 September 2021

Volume 1 Optimization of district heating production with thermal storage using mixed-integer nonlinear programming with a new initialization approach

A MINLP optimization of the configuration and the design of a distric Optimal Control of District Heating Systems using Dynamic ³¹⁻¹⁵⁹ Simulation and Mixed Integer Linear Programming



Linear programming optimization of heat distribution in a district-heating system by valve adjustments and substation retrofit

Why machine learning methods are interesting



Why is our work important

Problem 1: Which algorithm (and model) works best under which circumstances?

=> benchmark to compare different algorithms

Problem 2: What is the potential of datadriven machine learning methods?

Why is our work important



Why is our work important



Why is our work important



How we built GridPenguin

Design choices

The simulation of heat and temperature:

 $\nabla Q = h \cdot A \cdot (T_t - T_{env})$

$$T_{end} = (T_{start} - T_{env}) \cdot e^{-(\nabla t \cdot R_{\lambda})/(A \cdot \rho \cdot c)} + T_{env}$$

$$\nabla Q = (T_{in} - T_{out}) \cdot \dot{m} \cdot c$$
$$u = \frac{k}{\dot{m}^{-q} + \dot{m}'^{-q}}$$

$$\dot{m}' = \nabla Q / ((T'_{out} - T'_{in}) \cdot c)$$

$$\phi = \begin{cases} ntu/(1+ntu) & \text{if } C_r = 1\\ 1-e^{-ntu} & \text{if } C_r = 0\\ \frac{1-e^{-ntu\cdot(1-C_r)}}{1-C_r\cdot e^{-ntu\cdot(1-C_r)})} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The simulation of pressure:

$$\nabla p = f \cdot \dot{m}^2$$

Design choices



Interface



The Edge

How water is propagated: The node method



Example (called from downstream):

- 1. get mass flow from downstream
- 2. push block(s) out
- 3. calculate outlet temperature
- 4. get inlet temperature from upstream
- 5. add new block
- 6. calculate heat loss and pressure loss

The Consumer/ Heat Exchanger



The Producer







CHP



Heat pump



Geothermal

Boiler

The Producer



The operation region



CHP

The Producer



The operation region



The Connector



Split water

Join water

Model Validation

How GridPenguin compares to other software and a real grid

Why we chose Wanda





Images from https://www.deltares.nl/

Heat loss comparison



Constant mass flow Heat loss (out temp) - U (heat transfer rate)

Heat loss comparison

Flow speed change rate $(*10^{-6}m/s^2)$	difference
4.95	-0.000123
1.21	-0.000693
0.46	-0.00340

Table 5: Heat loss difference at different mass flow changing rate

Heat exchanger

Heat transfer coefficient (U) with mass flow changes



The nonlinear relation between heat transfer coefficient and mass flow

Heat exchanger



The Simulator

Wanda

Amsterdam south grid



Amsterdam south grid



Amsterdam south grid



Amsterdam south grid



Compare simulated data to real data

Future Works

4

5

6

Within GridPenguin

Implementation of storage and more producer types

Around GridPenguin (algorithms for optimization)

Reinforcement learning

Study of influence of simplified pressure

More complex grid topology

Constraint optimization

Monte Carlo tree search

Mathematical optimization

Conclusion

The literature lacks a way to accurately and fast simulate DHS.

We propose GridPenguin as a solution. We aim to use it as a benchmark tool as well as to facilitate usage of machine learning.

3

With an earlier software as well as a real grid we show the accuracy of GridPenguin.